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The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, has two crystallographically independent molecules in the crystal. Each molecule is basically planar except for the O atom. The two N atoms in the molecule show different behaviour as hydrogen-bonding acceptors. One of them is involved in intermolecular O $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds which stabilize the crystal packing.

## Comment

4,5-Diazafluoren-9-ol, (I), has been synthesized and characterized over the past three decades (Dickeson \& Summers, 1970; Gillard \& Hill, 1974; Newkome \& Roper, 1979). Recently, it has been of interest that some derivatives of (I) are potential drugs for the improvement of cognitive performance in patients with Alzheimer's-type dementia (Wong et al., 1996) and are also potential anticancer agents (Lattmann et al., 1999). Metal modification (metal binding) can have a direct or indirect influence on the activity and metabolism of organic drugs: some metal-organic drug complexes have improved biological activities over the parent organic compounds (Guo \& Sadler, 1999a). As part of our research into the design of novel platinum-based anticancer agents and other metal-based drugs (Guo \& Sadler, 1999b), and as a continuation of structural investigations of 4,5-diazafluoren-9one derivatives (Fun et al., 1995; Shanmuga Sundara Raj et al., 1999), we have synthesized compound (I) and report its structure here.

(I)

The X-ray analysis of (I) indicated it to have crystallographically independent molecules, namely, molecules 1 and 2, which contain atoms O 1 and O 2 , respectively. Each molecule is basically planar except for the O atom, which has a maximum deviation from the fused rings of 1.073 (1) $\AA$ for molecule 1 and 1.092 (1) $\AA$ for molecule 2. The dihedral angle between molecules 1 and 2 is $61.0(3)^{\circ}$.

The bond lengths and angles observed in the structure of (I) are in the normal ranges and are comparable with those found in the structures of other 4,5-diazafluorene derivatives ( Lu et al., 1995, 1996). We have reported previously that there is a difference in the behaviour of the two pyridyl N atoms of the diazafluorene moiety, in that one can form strong hydrogen bonds and the other cannot (Fun et al., 1995; Shanmuga Sundara Raj et al., 1999). The same phenomenon is also found in the present structure; atoms N2 of molecule 1 and N 3 of molecule 2 are involved in intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds, whereas atoms N 1 and N 4 are not involved in any hydrogen-bond interaction. The different behaviour of these N atoms with respect to hydrogen bonding may be related to their environments.


Figure 1
The structure of (I) showing 30\% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. The hydroxy group is disordered unequally over two sites.

Atom O 1 is disordered, with an occupancy of 0.866 (3) for O 1 and 0.134 (3) for $\mathrm{O} 1 A$. Only the hydrogen bond formed by the O 1 atom with the highest occupancy factor is considered here. In addition, atom O2 can form a weak intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond, whereas O 1 cannot form any $\mathrm{C}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds. The geometries of these interactions are listed in Table 2.

## Experimental

Compound (I) was prepared by the reaction of 4,5-diazafluoren-9one with an excess of sodium borohydride in $95 \%$ ethanol for 30 min . Diffraction quality crystals of (I) were obtained by recrystallization from toluene.

Crystal data
$\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=184.19$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
$a=10.193$ (2) $\AA$
$b=14.477$ (3) $\AA$
$c=12.092$ (2) $\AA$
$\beta=96.29$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=1773.6$ (6) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=8$
$D_{x}=1.380 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 25 reflections
$\theta=2.38-10.24^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.092 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=293$ (2) K
Block, colourless
$0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.22 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer
$2 \theta / \omega$ scans
3295 measured reflections 3109 independent reflections 1443 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.033$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=24.97^{\circ}$
$h=0 \rightarrow 12$
$k=0 \rightarrow 17$
$l=-14 \rightarrow 14$
3 standard reflections every 97 reflections intensity decay: $4.98 \%$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.037$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.085$
$S=1.023$
3109 reflections
266 parameters

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.025 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.11 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.19 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left({ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| C1-N1 | $1.334(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $1.332(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $1.344(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $1.346(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $1.335(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $1.328(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $1.345(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $1.409(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{O} 1 A$ | $1.410(11)$ | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A$ | $0.87(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $1.417(3)$ | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 A$ | $0.91(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $100.6(5)$ | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $115.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $116.4(5)$ | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{C} 15$ | $114.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $113.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{C} 20$ | $110.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $109.7(5)$ |  |  |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1-H1A $\cdots \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.87(3)$ | $2.10(3)$ | $2.936(3)$ | $160(2)$ |
| O2-H2 $^{\mathrm{H}} \cdots \cdots \mathrm{N} 2^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $0.91(3)$ | $1.83(3)$ | $2.710(2)$ | $164(2)$ |
| C9-H9 $A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | 0.96 | 2.48 | $3.292(3)$ | 142 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z$; (ii) $\frac{1}{2}-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}-z$; (iii) $x, y, 1+z$.

H atoms on C atoms were placed in calculated positions with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.96 \AA$, assigned fixed isotropic displacement parameters and allowed to ride. H atoms on O atoms (except $\mathrm{O} 1 A$ ) were located from a difference map and were refined isotropically. Atoms O 1 and $\mathrm{O} 1 A$ are disordered and the occupancy factors are 0.866 (3) for O 1 and 0.134 (3) for O1A.

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: CAD-4 Software; data reduction: CAD-4 Software; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was funded by the State Key Project of Fundamental Research and by the National Natural Science Foundation of China. The authors thank Mr Wang Hua-Qing (Centre of Materials Analysis, Nanjing University) for the X-ray structure determination.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NA1481). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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